MCLASSIFIED

Office Memorandum

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

INT 879/

DATE: 22 Dec

TO

Mr. Fisher Row

NOM : OIR Allan Brans AC

SUBJECT: Staff Study: Mational Intelligence

I am enclosing a revision of the subject paper, adapting many of the suggestions made in your meno of 19 December.

The form of the revision is, I believe, the one you suggest with one minor variation which I think an improvement - to describe the "Focus of the Problem" as the "issue" and present the case as Problem, Facts, Issues, Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations.

The principal variations from your suggestions occur in respect to procedure and substance; the reasons merit discussion between us. Briefly, I feel that the Webb letter to the MSC and the resulting MSC

memorandum of 14 December, preclude us from recommending any action at this time beyond an expression of Departmental approval of the position we take. The new recommendations and the attached draft letter to U reflect that belief. For that reason also, I have concluded that it is unnecessary to make further reference in the study to the DCI progress report.

To move 2(a) to the lead position would, it seems to me, tend to weaken the logical force of presentation.

Your excellent suggestion regarding the production issue we have tried to follow.

The other questions you raise are all valid but I would like to talk them over with you, for I have a feeling that to include them in the present discussion might tend to complicate a fairly clear case and, to that extent, weaken it. Several of the points, however, might (in fact, perhaps, should) be treated in separate papers, e.g., miscellaneous research and reporting.

ce: Mr. Armstrong

Mr. Killes

Mr. Trusheart

OIR/AllanEvans/tah December 22, 1949, Ahrs Magnuler Reber Shepp

you

ENR SKD776 National Intelligence Hoff Study"

220

